

## In the name of Allah, the Gracious, the Merciful



The Islamic State  
The Grievances Committee  
23/Safar/1437

Number: 18  
Date:

[5 December 2015]

### **A Verdict**

All praise is due to God, who said in His Noble Book: {But no, by your Lord, they will not believe until they make you, [O Muhammad], judge concerning that over which they dispute among themselves and then find within themselves no discomfort from what you have judged and submit in [full, willing] submission.}

And peace and prayers be upon His Prophet, Muhammad, who said: “By God, if Fatimah, the daughter of Muhammad, were to steal, I would cut off her hand.”

As for what follows: The complaints of the brothers below have been submitted to the Islamic Court of Grievances in Yemen:

- 1- Abu ‘Umar al-‘Adnani
- 2- Abu Radwan al-‘Adani
- 3- Abul-Shayma al-Muhajir
- 4- Aby Usamah al-Ibbi
- 5- Abu Abdullah al-San’ani
- 6- Abul-Bara al-Shabwani
- 7- Muqdad al-San’ani
- 8- Ibrahim al-‘Adani
- 9- Usamah al-‘Adani
- 10- Abu ‘Umar al-Ibbi
- 11- Abul-Bara al-Ibbi
- 12- Abul-Hasanayn al-Hashimi
- 13- Usayyid al-San’ani
- 14- Salah al-Maaribi
- 15- Abdullah al-San’ani
- 16- Hakim Harb
- 17- Abul-Layth al-Yafi’i

Most of them attended to the Shari’ah court, and they submitted their complaints against brother/ Abu Salih.

Some of the complaints were general and some were special.

**The pleading in public complaints, on behalf of everyone, were:**

**1- Brother Abu ‘Amru al-‘Adni, brother Abu Radwan al-‘Adni and brother/Abul-Shayma al-Muhajir.**

**The complaints were as following:**

We have sent messages to brother Abu Salih for our basic needs, but he did not care about us and did not ask about our situation. Moreover, he said, “Those who like the situation should sit, and those who did not like it should go to the Grievances Committee.”

Our needs are our grievances, which are:

A- We are in a targeted location, and we only have seven pieces of AK-47s and the ammunition in our belts, with the availability of about 20 bullet magazines or more in some other areas.

B- We only have two cars, one of them is a Hilux that is not drivable, and the other one is a truck that may break in any moment.

C- The water is not suitable for drinking, which causes harm to the brothers.

D- Shortage of medical supplies, and the suspension of compensations for the brothers.

And when we asked for these requests, his answer to brother Abu Waqqas was, “Take them all, and go to the Grievances Committee.” Keep in mind how far that is and how dangerous traveling is.

**After examining the complaint and hearing the statements of the two parties, the Islamic Court found the following:**

First: With regard to brother Abu Salih’s lack of care to the brothers’ demands, this all is not accurate because brother Radwan communicated with brother Abu Salih via a communication app before noon. Brother Abu Salih answered their message before sunset of the same day, and this is the situation of the network in that area, but the brothers could not read his answer.

Second: Concerning the matter of brother Abu Salih’s answer (whoever liked the situation sits as whoever did not like it, goes to the Grievances Committee), this was brother Abu Salih’s response to the letter sent to him from brother Abu Waqqas al-‘Adani, when he told him that some of the brothers consider their staying in al-Raydah as a punishment.

[Page 2]

As for stating that this was his answer to the brothers’ demands, this is not correct, for the proof presented by brother Abu Salih, which invalidates this claim. He showed us the messages from his private phone, and we heard some voice messages in which he answered the brothers’ demands, which brother Abu Salih asked brother Abu Waqqas to convey to the brothers but the brothers did not hear it.

Third: With regard to the brothers’ needs, the court found that they are legit. Brother Abu Salih was trying to solve them. Despite the fact that there has been a kind of delay in this, but he did not neglect their demands, and here are the details:

A- The issue of ammunition shortage is a problem in all the battalions. Then, God facilitated to buy what is needed, however, its delivery to the brothers was delayed for the absence of a car that can be used to transfer it to the brothers.

B- The issue of cars, brother Abu Salih cannot currently provide additional cars for them; because all the [Islamic] State’s cars are currently distributed to the brothers for work purposes.

C- The issue of water, brother Abu Salih did not have a solution in his hand. The car intended for transporting water broke down. He thought that the brothers were able to transport water, via water tanks that are placed on the truck, however, the fact is that all the brothers did not have a vehicle suitable to transport water for the rugged road, and the several malfunctions in the cars they had. This is what necessitated their coming to brother Abu ‘Amro, and then to brother Abu Waqqas to submit their basic needs.

D- The issue of the brother Abu Salih's promise to transfer the brothers, it was under preparation and arrangement, and the delay occurred as a result of the working conditions.

E- The issue of compensations, brother Abu 'Amro has mentioned that brother Abu Salih has promised to pay an amount of (53,000 Yemeni riyals) because he has spent it from the compensation money on some of the car's repairs. Brother Abu Salih did not deny this matter.

Fourth: The court also found that the reason for the matter to reach what it reached is the misunderstanding that occurred between the brothers and brother Abu Salih. The original source of the problem was insufficient for the middleman between the brothers and brother Abu Salih.

He did not convey the correct image at the beginning, rather, he conveyed to brother Abu Salih that the brothers were complaining for being punished. The fact is that they came for the previous matters, and what they mentioned, regarding the fear of some of the brothers from punishment, was only mentioned as part of the conversation but wasn't the main point.

**Based on the foregoing, in addition to what was mentioned in the session, the Islamic Court, with the help of God, ruled the following:**

First: Brother Abu Salih was proven to have delayed some of the brothers' needs because he was busy with work. Nothing beyond that was proven on him, such as negligence, indifference to the brothers, and so on. Thus, we emphasize to brother Abu Salih the necessity of committing to providing the brothers' basic needs that have already been mentioned, as well as not delaying their affairs except if he has an extreme excuse. Just as he does not accept that his requests be delayed, so he should treat people the same way he wants to be treated.

Second: With regard to the arrangement of the brothers, the brothers should return from where they came, except for those who got permission to stay for an excuse or for a special arrangement.

[Page 3]

**As for the special complaints, they are:**

1- Brother Abul-Bara al-Ibbi complained against brother Abu Salih because he kept him in the battalion, while he promised that he would be transferred to work with the brothers after three days. Then he did not fulfill his promise and delayed him for a month.

Brother Abu Salih's answer was that the reason for this was that he saw it beneficial if brother Abul-Bara al-Ibbi remains as a second in command of weapons' warehouse, since the main official moves around a lot.

Brother Abul-Bara has accepted this answer.

2- Brother Abu Abdullah al-San'ani and Miqdad al-San'ani complained against brother Abu Salih that he promised them to ask permission from the wali to go down to Sana'a and promised them that he would return the wali's answer after a week. Then he left them for a period of about a month.

Brother Abu Salih's answer was that he did not leave them for a month, but left them for a period of no more than two and a half weeks, and the reason for this was his preoccupation with the results of the battle of Sir Wshbam He promised them that next time he would be more careful in this matter.

3- Brother Ibrahim al-'Adani complained against brother Abu Salih that he had punished him for his intention to go to his family, and ordered him to go to the camp for three days, as a punishment. Then, he left him in camp for three courses without telling him why.

Brother Abu Salih's answer was that he did not punish him for his intention, but when he told me about his inability to carry out military matters, I had only three options: either I keep him in al-Siddiq or al-Faruq, but he is not able to be with the brothers' trainings and missions. The third option was to transfer him to the camp to serve his brothers as much as he could, and this is what I decided. I gave him a position with the camp administration and it was not as a punishment. The brother was satisfied with the answer of Abu Salih.

4- Brother Usayyid al-San'ani complained about some mistakes that occurred in the battle of Sir and Shbam.

It was found to the court that these errors are the result of the negligence of those who were directly responsible for the battle, headed by brother Waqqas al-'Adani. However, an observer must be sent to investigate the details of the battle and then consider the appropriate punishment with the one determined by the court.

Thus, the court has concluded consideration of these complaints related to brother Abu Salih. Right decisions were made all thanks to God alone, and any wrong was because of ourselves and Satan. God and His Messenger are innocent from anything wrong, and praise be to God, Lord of the worlds.

Decided by, Abu Muhammad al-Kinani, may God straighten him  
The head of the Grievances Committee  
Issued on 23/Safar/2437 AH [5 December 2015].

[A stamp provided that reads] The Islamic State – The Grievances Committee