
A request to appeal a verdict submitted by (the complainant – **the father of the defendant**
[redacted])

Complaint Number: 44 S H The Courthouse: The Public one in al-Basirah The Judge who issued the verdict: Abu Usayid al-Barqawi

The complainant: The Public Police in al-Sur Affiliation: Wilayat al-Khayr

The defendant: [redacted]

[redacted] Affiliation: Wilayat al-Khayr

Subject of Complaint: Smuggling common Muslims to the lands of the PKK.

The text of the verdict:

According to [redacted], who admitted that he took 482 thousand Syrian lira from 5 people and handed them over to smuggler [redacted] [redacted] agreed with the driver of the car, [redacted] [redacted], to smuggle them. Then, he and they got caught. I ruled to confiscate the money, which is 482 thousand Syrian lira, as well as the confiscation of the Honda Fox car. [redacted] will be punished with 500 lashes for two days, [redacted] will be punished with 600 lashes for 3 days, and he will be exiled to the Wilayat al-Furat for 4 months. And since that the rest of the defendants admitted that they wanted to escape to the lands of disbelief, it was decided to flog each of them 90 lashes and they are referred to a Shari'ah course and then they are released.

Justifications for the appeal:

The car that was confiscated and was in the possession of the defendant, [redacted], owned by his father, [redacted]. His father has no knowledge that [redacted] uses the car to smuggle people to the lands of disbelief. Rather, he gave the car to his son, [redacted], [redacted]'s brother, to work on it to transport passengers within the territory of the Islamic State.

There was paper evidence that the car belonged to [redacted]'s father and not to [redacted].

The Judge:

The appeal is rejected, because the defendant, [redacted], admitted that he was smuggling [people] by using the car, and his father had to file a claim against his son, as he was the one who caused its confiscation.

The following should be requested from the judge's clerk: [blank]

[A stamp provided but I couldn't read it because the signature is covering it]

[A signature provided by] Abu Usayid al-Barqawi [on] Wednesday, 22/Rajab/1438 [19 April 2017]

The request to appeal a verdict is not accepted unless:

- 1- The existence of a verdict summary or a certified photocopy of it.
- 2- A photocopy of any document that proves the identity of the person requesting the appeal (ID, Driver's License, a Family Card, ...etc.)
- 3- A certified power of attorney from the court's clerk in case any of his first class relatives is authorized to follow the case.
- 4- New evidence that the judge hasn't seen previously in the complaint, if there is a change in the testimonies of the witnesses or confessions that the judge hasn't seen previously.