

[This is the third page of a document with an unknown number of pages]

So, I went back to sheikh Abu 'Ubaydah and asked him, "Where did my husband go?" He said, "He took your file to emir Abu Hudhayfah in court." I said, "Why didn't you tell me about that? Where is the court? And when is the session?"

He said, "Ask your husband or go to court and ask the brothers there." So, I went and asked, and one of the brothers there who told me, "Your husband came and brought your file, and I informed him of the date of the session."

Note: Before this session, the judge of the reconciliation informed me that my husband's first wife (Um 'Umar) had entered the court a few days ago and she gave her testimony in the case without her having a name in the case and without my knowledge, so how can I accept the testimony of the one who accused my husband's marriage to me that it is for enjoyment only. I have made sure that she did not pass by the court, that is, she entered adjacently and never asked.

At the beginning, I complained before judge Abu Hudhayfah against judge Abul-Mundhir that he had ruled and then changed his ruling, and told him about the transgression that had occurred. Then, he said to me, "It is normal for him to change his ruling because he might have discovered something new." I told him, "Nothing new has occurred," and I explained to him what happened in the session in detail. He said, "Now enter into the subject of the case."

I gave him the contract and my prior claim, but he also neglected [them], and he started asking me about the contract and also asked me if I swore that I had not forgiven him [her husband]. And I answered, "Yes, I swear." He said to my husband, "You are bound by the contract." Here, my husband said, "They defrauded me with this contract." The judge asked him, "Who defrauded you? Your wife?"

He replied, "Her mother deceived me." The judge focused on that and said, "We want to make sure that there is a report." So, he summoned my mother and took her testimony and mine in this regard, in which the judge proved that there was no fraud. Even my husband proved, unintentionally, that we were not fraudulent, when he mentioned to the judge that after our marriage, I gave him my gold [jewelry] to pay off his debts.

We were also able to prove that the Mahr [a dowry given by the man to the woman he is about to marry] was stipulated on the basis similarity (similar to the Mahr of my sisters). But the judge demanded that my mother's testimony be written, and insisted that only what she said should be written. Then he said, "Do you have anything else to add?" I told him about the [marriage contract] terms and my husband's willingness to swear on it. But my husband did not deny the terms this time, although he denied it beforehand.

The judge said, “Go, the case is ready for judgment.” About 10 days have passed and the judgment has not been issued in this ruling, although I asked the court’s office, the registry official, and brother Abul-Zein, and they all assured me that the file was with the judge in his office, and he has not made his decision about it.